

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA  
WESTERN DIVISION**

FIREARMS REGULATORY  
ACCOUNTABILITY COALITION, INC.,  
STATES OF WEST VIRGINIA, NORTH  
DAKOTA, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MERRICK B. GARLAND, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:23-cv-24

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS PENDING RESOLUTION OF  
RELATED CASE**

Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court stay the proceedings in this matter pending the resolution of the Government's appeal in *Mock v. Garland*, No. 24-10743 (5th Cir.). Defendants oppose this motion. *See* ECF No. 113.

**BACKGROUND**

On January 31, 2023, ATF published the rule at issue here, *see* Factoring Criteria for Firearms with Attached "Stabilizing Braces," 88 Fed. Reg. 6,478 (Jan. 31, 2023) ("Final Rule"), compelling Plaintiffs to seek a preliminary injunction from this Court. On appeal, the Eighth Circuit held Plaintiffs are "likely to succeed on the merits of [their] arbitrary-and-capricious challenge" and remanded for this Court "to address the remaining injunctive factors." *FRAC v. Garland*, 112 F.4th 507, 511, 526 (8th Cir. 2024).

While this case was on appeal, and after it was fully briefed and argued before the Eighth Circuit, a federal district court in Texas entered summary judgment in favor of challengers to the same Final Rule at issue here and vacated the Final Rule. *See Mock v. Garland*, No. 4:23-CV-00095-O, 2024 WL 2982056, at \*5–\*6 (N.D. Tex. June 13, 2024). The Government acknowledges

the Final Rule is vacated and, therefore, that it cannot be enforced against anyone. After the Eighth Circuit issued its opinion, the Government appealed to the Fifth Circuit the Texas court’s summary judgment decision. Consequently, the Fifth Circuit will now decide whether to affirm the judgment that “the Final Rule violated the APA’s procedural requirements because it was arbitrary and capricious and was not a logical outgrowth of the Proposed Rule.” *Mock*, 2024 WL 2982056, at \*6; *see Mock v. Garland*, 24-10743 (5th Cir.). That appeal will be fully briefed by November 27, 2024.

### ARGUMENT

“It is well-established that a trial court has the inherent power to stay proceedings to control its docket, to conserve judicial resources, and to ensure that each matter is handled ‘with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants.’” *Barnes v. Zurn Plex, Inc.*, No. 1:07-cv-74, 2008 WL 111217, at \*2 (D.N.D. Jan. 9, 2008) (Hovland, J.) (quoting *Landis v. North Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936)). Federal courts routinely stay cases where another case raises the same or related issues and is “further along” in its proceedings. *Norris v. Miller*, 926 F. Supp. 776, 779–80 (N.D. Ill. 1996) (granting stay where related case was further along); *accord Lunde v. Helms*, 898 F.2d 1343 (8th Cir. 1990) (affirming district court stay pending related federal administrative proceedings).

The outcome in the *Mock* appeal could have a significant impact on this case. If the Fifth Circuit affirms, then the Final Rule will remain vacated, and this action may become moot. *See, e.g., Aland v. Salazar*, No. 1:08-CV-24, 2012 WL 12985149, at 1\* (D. Idaho Mar. 23, 2012) (dismissing Administrative Procedure Act challenge to agency rule as moot where appellate court in other proceeding vacated the same rule). And if the Fifth Circuit reverses or limits the Texas court’s judgment in any way, this Court may still benefit from that opinion—subject of course to the undisputed vertical *stare decisis* principle that only the Eighth Circuit’s reasoning controls this

Court. *See* Dkt. 113, at 2 (Government’s acknowledgement that “the Fifth Circuit provides no determinative rule of decision for this Court”).

The Government indicates its intent to oppose a stay on the ground that “*Mock* turns, in part, on those plaintiffs’ logical outgrowth claim.” *Id.* But, as the district court there explained (and the Government’s appeal brief in *Mock* acknowledges), those plaintiffs’ logical outgrowth claim was an *additional* reason that the district court vacated the Final Rule. The district also held—like the Eighth Circuit recognized in this case—that the Final Rule is “arbitrary and capricious.” *Mock*, 2024 WL 2982056, at \*6; *see* DOJ Appellant Br. 3–4, *Mock v. Garland*, 24-10743 (5th Cir.) (statement of the issues), 12 (“The district court also granted summary judgment to plaintiffs on their claim that the Rule is arbitrary and capricious[.]”). Each holding was an independent ground authorizing vacatur. And the Fifth Circuit has already held—at the preliminary injunction stage in that case—that the *Mock* plaintiffs were likely to succeed on at least one. *See Mock v. Garland*, 75 F.4th 563 (5th Cir. 2023). In any event, for the purpose of deciding this motion, it makes no difference what ground the district court invoked to vacate the Final Rule in *Mock* or which ground the Fifth Circuit may use to affirm. Vacatur is the result either way.

The Government also says it will oppose because “the *Mock* appeal challenges ... universal vacatur.” Dkt. 113, at 3. But the Supreme “Court has affirmed countless decisions that vacated agency actions, including agency rules,” *Corner Post, Inc. v. Bd. of Governors of Fed. Rsrv. Sys.*, 144 S. Ct. 2440, 2463 (2024) (Kavanaugh, J., concurring), so there is no doubt that existing law authorizes this universal remedy. *See also* Transcript of Oral Argument at 35:16-25, *United States v. Texas*, No. 22-58 (U.S. Nov. 29, 2022) (Chief Justice Roberts describing the Government’s anti-vacatur position as “fairly radical” and “inconsistent with ... established practice under the APA”);

*Red River Valley Sugarbeet Growers Ass’n v. Regan*, 85 F.4th 881, 883 (8th Cir. 2023) (“vacat[ing]” order that “was arbitrary and capricious”). The Government may hope to change that law by taking *Mock* all the way to the Supreme Court. But, if so, that is more reason, not less, for a stay.

Coupled with the potential legal impact of *Mock* on this case are equitable considerations about judicial and party resources. Absent a stay, the Court will expend substantial resources on summary judgment briefing as it considers the lawfulness of a rule that is already vacated and may never come back into force. *See Johnson v. N.D. Guar. & Title Co.*, No. 1:17-CV-120, 2018 WL 6706672, at \*2 (D.N.D. Dec. 20, 2018) (“Factors for the court’s consideration include but are not limited to the conservation of judicial resources ...”). That effort will be wasted if the Fifth Circuit upholds the existing vacatur decision.

A stay will conserve the party resources. The private Plaintiffs have already incurred substantial costs from both the rule and this challenge. *See* Appellant’s Br. 52–56, *FRAC v. Garland*, No. 23-3230 (8th Cir.). And since this action seeks injunctive relief, Plaintiffs are not likely to recover any of those expenses. It makes little sense to compel Plaintiffs to incur further unrecoverable costs for efforts which may be rendered unnecessary by other proceedings outside of their control. For the Plaintiff States, continued activity in this case will divert resources from other important litigation matters. Should the rule survive the Government’s appeal in *Mock*, Plaintiffs stand ready and willing to immediately resume summary judgment briefing.

Conversely, a stay will not prejudice the Government. If the rule is vacated, any further efforts by the Government in this proceeding will have been entirely misplaced. Indeed, “[Defendant’s] interests would actually be served by granting a stay” since they would not “be required to incur additional expenses from simultaneous litigation” in two jurisdictions over the

same agency regulation. *Raskas v. Johnson & Johnson*, No. 4:12-cv-2174 JCH, 2013 WL 1818133, at \*2 (E.D. Mo. Apr. 29, 2013). Thus, despite its opposition, the Government only stands to benefit from a stay.

Finally, any stay of proceedings will likely be short. *See Frable v. Synchrony Bank*, 215 F. Supp. 3d 818, 821 (D. Minn. 2016) (granting motion where stay was anticipated to be “relatively short in duration”). Briefing in *Mock* will be completed next month. Given the pace at which that case has moved, it is likely the Fifth Circuit will decide the issue promptly. After that, the parties can advise this Court whether further proceedings are required here.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should stay these proceedings until the appeal in *Mock v. Garland*, No. 24-10743 (5th Cir.), is decided.

Dated: October 28, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Stephen J. Obermeier

Stephen J. Obermeier  
Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.  
Michael D. Faucette  
Jeremy J. Broggi  
Boyd Garriott  
**WILEY REIN LLP**  
2050 M Street NW  
Washington, DC 20036  
Tel: 202.719.7000  
Fax: 202.719.7049  
SObermeier@wiley.law  
TMJohnson@wiley.law  
MFaucette@wiley.law  
JBroggi@wiley.law  
BGarriott@wiley.law

Benjamin J. Sand (ND ID #07981)

**CROWLEY FLECK PLLP**  
100 W Broadway Ave  
Bismarck, ND 58501  
Tel: 701.223.6585  
Fax: 701.222.4853  
bsand@crowleyfleck.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs FRAC, SB Tactical,  
B&T, and Richard Cicero*

/s/ Michael R. Williams

PATRICK MORRISEY  
Attorney General  
MICHAEL R. WILLIAMS  
Solicitor General

Office of the West Virginia Attorney General  
State Capitol, Bldg 1, Room E-26  
Charleston, WV 25305  
(681) 313-4550  
Michael.R.Williams@wvago.gov

*Counsel for Appellant State of West Virginia*

/s/ Philip Axt

DREW H. WRIGLEY  
Attorney General  
PHILIP AXT  
Solicitor General

North Dakota Attorney General's Office  
500 North 9th Street  
Bismarck, ND 58501  
(701) 328-2210  
pjaxt@nd.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of North Dakota*

/s/ Edmund G. LaCour Jr.

STEVE MARSHALL

Attorney General

EDMUND G. LACOUR JR.

Solicitor General

Alabama Attorney General's Office

501 Washington Avenue

Post Office Box 300152

Montgomery, Alabama 36130-0152

Telephone: (334) 242-7300

Facsimile: (334) 353-8400

Edmund.LaCour@AlabamaAG.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Alabama*

/s/ Dylan L. Jacobs

TIM GRIFFIN

Attorney General

NICHOLAS J. BRONNI

Solicitor General

DYLAN L. JACOBS

Deputy Solicitor General

Arkansas Attorney General's Office

323 Center Street, Suite 200

Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

(501) 682-6302

nicholas.bronni@arkansasag.gov

dylan.jacobs@arkansasag.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Arkansas*

/s/ Stephen J. Petrany

CHRISTOPHER M. CARR

Attorney General

STEPHEN J. PETRANY

Solicitor General

Georgia's Attorney General's Office

/s/ Charles E. Brasington

TREG TAYLOR

Attorney General

CHARLES E. BRASINGTON

Assistant Attorney General

Alaska Attorney General's Office

1031 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200

Anchorage, AK 99501

Phone: (907) 269-6612

charles.brasington@alaska.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Alaska*

/s/ Natalie P. Christmas

ASHLEY MOODY

Attorney General

NATALIE P. CHRISTMAS (Fla. Bar 1019180)

Counselor to the Attorney General

Florida Attorney General's Office

The Capitol, Pl-01

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050

(850) 414-3300

(850) 410-2672 (fax)

natalie.christmas@myfloridalegal.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Florida*

/s/ Alan M. Hurst

RAÚL R. LABRADOR

Attorney General

ALAN M. HURST

Solicitor General

Idaho Attorney General's Office

40 Capitol Square, SW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334  
(404) 458-3408  
spetrany@law.ga.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Georgia*

/s/ Betsy M. Denardi  
THEODORE E. ROKITA  
Attorney General  
BETSY M. DENARDI  
Director of Complex Litigation

Indiana Attorney General's Office  
Indiana Government Center South  
302 W. Washington St., 5th Floor  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
(317) 232-6231  
Betsy.DeNardi@atg.in.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Indiana*

/s/ Jesse A. Burris  
KRIS KOBACH  
Attorney General  
JESSE A. BURRIS  
Assistant Attorney General

Kansas Attorney General's Office  
120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor  
Topeka, Kansas 66612-1597  
Tel: (785) 368-8197  
Jesse.Burris@ag.ks.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Kansas*

/s/ Tracy Short  
ELIZABETH B. MURRILL

P.O. Box 83720-0010  
Boise, ID 83720-0010  
(208) 334-2400  
(208) 854-8071 (fax)  
alan.hurst@ag.idaho.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Idaho*

/s/ Eric H. Wessan  
BRENNIA BIRD  
Attorney General  
ERIC H. WESSAN  
Solicitor General

Iowa Attorney General's Office  
1305 E. Walnut Street  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
(515) 281-5164  
(515) 281-4209 (fax)  
eric.wessan@ag.iowa.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Iowa*

/s/ Aaron J. Silletto  
RUSSELL COLEMAN  
Attorney General  
AARON J. SILLETTO  
Assistant Attorney General

Kentucky Attorney General's Office  
700 Capital Avenue, Suite 118  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601  
Phone: (502) 696-5300  
Aaron.Silletto@ky.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff Commonwealth of Kentucky*

/s/ Justin L. Matheny  
LYNN FITCH



Attorney General  
TRACY SHORT  
Assistant Attorney General  
MORGAN BRUNGARD  
Assistant Solicitor General

Louisiana Department of Justice  
1885 N. Third Street  
Baton Rouge, LA 70804  
Tel: (225) 326-6766  
shortt@ag.louisiana.gov  
brungardm@ag.louisiana.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Louisiana*

/s/ Jeff P. Johnson  
ANDREW BAILEY  
Attorney General  
JOSHUA M. DIVINE  
Solicitor General

Missouri Attorney General's Office  
Post Office Box 899  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
Tel: (573) 751-8870  
josh.divine@ago.mo.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Missouri*

/s/ Eric J. Hamilton  
MICHAEL T. HILGERS  
Attorney General  
ERIC J. HAMILTON  
Solicitor General

Nebraska Attorney General's Office  
2115 State Capitol  
Lincoln, NE 68509

Attorney General  
JUSTIN L. MATHENY  
Deputy Solicitor General

Mississippi Attorney General's Office  
550 High Street, Suite 1200  
Jackson, MS 39201  
Tel: (601) 359-3680  
justin.matheny@ago.ms.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Mississippi*

/s/ Christian B. Corrigan  
AUSTIN KNUDSEN  
Attorney General  
CHRISTIAN B. CORRIGAN  
Solicitor General  
PETER MARTIN TORSTENSEN, JR.  
Assistant Solicitor General

Montana Attorney General's Office  
215 N Sanders St  
Helena, MT 59601  
(406) 444-2707  
Christian.Corrigan@mt.gov  
Peter.Tortstensen@mt.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Montana*

/s/ Brandon F. Chase  
JOHN M. FORMELLA  
Attorney General  
BRANDON F. CHASE  
Assistant Attorney General

New Hampshire Department of Justice  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, NH 03301

(402) 471-2683  
Eric.Hamilton@nebraska.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Nebraska*

/s/ Garry M. Gaskins, II  
GENTNER F. DRUMMOND  
Attorney General  
GARRY M. GASKINS, II  
Solicitor General  
ZACH WEST  
Director of Special Litigation  
AUDREY A. WEAVER  
Assistant Solicitor General

Oklahoma Attorney General's Office  
State of Oklahoma  
313 N.E. 21<sup>st</sup> Street  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105  
(405) 521-3921  
Garry.Gaskins@oag.ok.gov  
Zach.West@oag.ok.gov  
Audrey.Weaver@oag.ok.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Oklahoma*

/s/ Charles D. McGuigan  
MARTY J. JACKLEY  
Attorney General  
CHARLES D. MCGUIGAN  
Chief Deputy Attorney General

South Dakota Attorney General's Office  
1302 E. Highway 4, Suite 1  
Pierre, SD 57501  
605-773-3215  
Charles.Mcguigan@state.sd.us

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of South Dakota*

(603) 271-3650  
Brandon.F.Chase@doj.nh.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of New Hampshire*

/s/ J. Emory Smith  
ALAN WILSON  
Attorney General  
J. EMORY SMITH, JR.  
Deputy Solicitor General

South Carolina Attorney General's Office  
Post Office Box 11549  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211  
Phone: (803) 734-3680  
Fax: (803) 734-3677  
Email: ESmith@scag.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of South Carolina*

/s/ Whitney D. Hermandorfer  
JONATHAN SKRMETTI  
Attorney General and Reporter  
WHITNEY D. HERMANDORFER  
Director, Strategic Litigation Unit

Tennessee Attorney General's Office  
P.O. Box 20207  
Nashville, TN 37202  
(615) 253-5642  
Whitney.Hermandorfer@ag.tn.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Tennessee*

/s/ Lance Sorenson

SEAN REYES

Attorney General

LANCE SORENSON

Assistant Attorney General

Utah Attorney General's Office

350 N. State Street, Suite 230

P.O. Box 142320

Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320

(801) 538-9600

lancesorenson@agutah.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Utah*

/s/ Ryan Schelhaas

BRIDGET HILL

Attorney General

RYAN SCHELHAAS

Chief Deputy Attorney General

Wyoming Attorney General's Office

Counsel for the State of Wyoming

109 State Capitol

Cheyenne, WY 82002

Telephone: (307) 777-5786

ryan.schelhaas@wyo.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of Wyoming*

/s/ Kevin M. Gallagher

JASON MIYARES

Attorney General

KEVIN M. GALLAGHER

Principal Deputy Solicitor General

Virginia Attorney General's Office

202 North 9th Street

Richmond, Virginia 23219

(804) 786-2071

kgallagher@oag.state.va.us

*Counsel for Plaintiff Commonwealth of Virginia*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 28, 2024, I electronically filed the above paper with the Clerk of Court using the Court's electronic case filing system, and I hereby certify that I have served all parties electronically or by another manner authorized by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2).

Dated: October 28, 2024

/s/ Stephen J. Obermeier  
Stephen J. Obermeier